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KEY IMPLICATIONS

• Teacher identity is largely shaped by personal experiences and values, the social practice in relation to power, spheres of influence and school culture.

• The supporting conditions that influence decisional capital includes a school culture that encourages sharing, listening, trust, respect, and mutual acceptance; the barriers include time constraint for experimentation, sharing of goals and purposes, power distance and complex dynamics in relational networks.

• Teachers' decisional capital is inter-relational and woven in the complexities of sources of power within a context in which interactions take place.

BACKGROUND

Teachers’ professional identity is a collective image that emerges as the outcome of teachers’ interpretation while interacting in the school environment. It has intense influences on a teacher’s sense of purpose, self-efficacy, motivation, commitment, as well as effectiveness (Day, Sammons, Stobart, Kington, & Gu, 2007). In Singapore, the desired professional identity is officially articulated in the Teacher Growth Model (TGM) which takes into account the MOE Ethos of Teaching profession. Given the policy visions and the “tight-loose dilemma” (DuFour & Fullan, 2013) working culture, this study sought an evidence-based inquiry to explore how facets of the TGM have contributed to the development of professional capital that supports teacher-led professionalism and professional standards for teacher identity among the teachers.

FOCUS OF STUDY

This study examined the Singapore Teacher’s professional identity and the way teachers in Singapore exercised decisional capital in the sense-making and interpretation of selective aspects of the TGM, i.e. Ethical Educator (EE) and Transformational Leader (TL). The specific research questions are:

RQ1: How do Singapore teachers make sense of being transformational leaders and ethical educators?

RQ2: How do teacher responses in RQ1 reflect their level of decisional capital among teachers?

RQ3: How does the teacher-led social construction of professional identity compare with that articulated in the TGM?

KEY FINDINGS

Our analysis showed that where ethics is concerned, teachers use two frames in interpreting the situations—self-references and norm-references. Self-references are socially constructed boundaries to recognize
SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS

This study contributes to research and policy. Our findings attend to Peterman's (2017) call for teacher identity research that places greater emphasis on unpacking the complexities of teacher identity within and across settings and time—particularly by illuminating the roles of power relationships in identity formation. By teasing out the nuances of teacher identity, our findings could inform TGM in practice, and also how TGM can be better communicated in the fraternity.

PARTICIPANTS

This study involved teachers from 101 primary and secondary schools and teacher leaders from the Academy of Singapore Teachers (AST).

RESEARCH DESIGN

This qualitative study was conducted in two phases:

1. Phase 1: semi-structured online discussions based on scenarios presented online
2. Phase 2: face-to-face focus group discussions in which we analyzed the data using the Grounded Theory.
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